

A giant, periodic flare from the soft gamma repeater SGR1900+14

K. Hurley^a, T. Cline^b, E. Mazets^c, S. Barthelmy^b, P. Butterworth^b, F. Marshall^b, D. Palmer^b,
R. Aptekar^c, S. Golenetskii^c, V. Il’Inskii^c, D. Frederiks^c, J. McTiernan^a, R. Gold^d, J. Trombka^b

^a UC Berkeley Space Sciences Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720-7450

^b NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 661, Greenbelt, MD 20771

^c Ioffe Physical-Technical Institute, St. Petersburg, 194021, Russia

^d The Johns Hopkins University, Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD 20723

Soft gamma repeaters are high-energy transient sources associated with neutron stars in young supernova remnants¹. They emit sporadic, short (~ 0.1 s) bursts with soft energy spectra during periods of intense activity. The event of March 5, 1979 was the most intense and the only clearly periodic one to date^{2,7}. Here we report on an even more intense burst on August 27, 1998, from a different soft gamma repeater, which displayed a hard energy spectrum at its peak, and was followed by a ~ 300 s long tail with a soft energy spectrum and a dramatic 5.16 s period. Its peak and time integrated energy fluxes at Earth are the largest yet observed from any cosmic source. This event was probably initiated by a massive disruption of the neutron star crust, followed by an outflow of energetic particles rotating with the period of the star. Comparison of these two bursts supports the idea that magnetic energy plays an important role, and that such giant flares, while rare, are not unique, and may occur at any time in the neutron star’s activity cycle.

Four soft gamma repeaters (SGRs) are known. All appear to be associated with radio supernova remnants, indicating that they are young⁴ ($< 20,000$ y). SGRs are probably strongly magnetized neutron stars (‘magnetars’⁵), in which, unlike the radio pulsars, the magnetic energy dominates the rotational energy. SGR0525-66 produced both the unusual, energetic and periodic burst of March 5 1979^{6,7,8} and a series of subsequent, much smaller bursts^{9,10}. It lies towards the N49 supernova remnant in the Large Magellanic Cloud^{11,12}. A quiescent soft X-ray source has been identified which may be the neutron star¹³. SGR1900+14, first detected in 1979, was, until recently, the least prolific SGR^{14,15}, hindering attempts to locate it precisely. Several lines of evidence suggested that it was associated with the galactic supernova remnant G42.8+0.6¹⁶ and a quiescent soft X-ray source¹⁷. This possible association was strengthened by a source location obtained with the network synthesis method¹⁸, and more recently by triangulation^{19,20,21}, although since this X-ray source lies outside the remnant, the connection between the two could still be considered to be unresolved.

An observation of the quiescent soft X-ray source possibly associated with SGR1900+14 by the ASCA spacecraft in April 1998 showed that the X-rays exhibited a 5.16 s period²². In May, SGR1900+14 came out of a long dormant phase, emitting strong, frequent bursts^{19,23}. On August 27, it emitted the exceptionally intense giant flare reported here, detected by instruments on GGS-Wind²⁴, Ulysses²¹, the Rossi X-Ray Timing Explorer²⁵ (RXTE), BeppoSAX, and the Near Earth Asteroid Rendezvous (NEAR). The entire event profile is shown in figure 1 with Ulysses 0.5 s resolution data. In very general terms, the burst rose to a maximum and decayed roughly as a power law in time with an index of ~ 1.8 . However, the event onset is complex; Konus-Wind observations resolve components < 4 ms. A sinusoidal component dramatically modulated the later part of the profile for the duration of the observation with varying amplitudes, the first direct detection of the 5.16 s periodicity at hard X-ray energies. The inset to Figure 1 shows 31.25 ms time resolution Ulysses data, demonstrating that the 5.16 s pulsations commenced approximately 35 s after the peak. It is clear that the pulse profile is considerably more complex than a single sinusoidal curve, with at least 4 maxima and minima in a single cycle.

A remarkable coincidence, the initiation of NEAR gamma-ray monitoring only days before August 27th but after many months of silent cruise towards Eros, made possible the high-precision source localization of this event by triangulation, i.e. analysis of the arrival times at Ulysses, GGS-Wind, RXTE, and NEAR. This is the only time, other than for the March 5, 1979 event^{11,12}, that an SGR has been localized by triangulation at three or more widely separated spacecraft, leading directly to an error box. All six source annuli, determined from the various two-spacecraft comparisons, are consistent with the coordinates of the quiescent soft X-ray source^{18,21} (RA(J2000) = 19 h 07 m 14 s, Dec(J2000) = $9^\circ 19' 19''$). The details will be reported elsewhere, but we note that this positional agreement, as well as the agreement between the periodicities found in soft X-rays and in the giant flare light curve, now leave no doubt about the association between the SGR and the quiescent X-ray source.

The temperature of the energy spectrum of this event is shown in figure 1. With the exception of the peak, the temperature is $kT \sim 30$ keV, which is similar to SGR bursts in general. At the peak, however, the temperature averaged over a 1 s interval is $kT \sim 240$ keV. Finer time resolution measurements were recorded by Konus, indicating a peak temperature ~ 1200 keV, and a maximum photon energy of 2 MeV. Hard spectra such as these are not characteristic of SGR bursts; one was observed for the peak of the March 5 1979 event^{6,26}. Table 1 compares the properties of these two giant flares. Comparisons between very intense bursts observed by different instruments are subject to numerous uncertainties. Dead time effects, different time resolutions and energy ranges, and pulse pile-up are difficult or even impossible to correct for; hence the "approximate" and "greater than" symbols in Table 1. However, to within these uncertainties, the parameters of the August 27 1998 event are consistent with it having the largest peak flux and fluence of any of the several thousand SGRs and cosmic gamma-ray bursts observed to date.

Recently it has been suggested^{23,27} that the neutron stars associated with SGRs are magnetars, i.e. that they have magnetic fields of several times 10^{14} G⁵. This is based on observations of the

quiescent counterparts in X-rays, which display pulsations with a slowly lengthening period; the spin-down is interpreted as due to magnetic dipole radiation. In the magnetar model, the giant flares of August 27 and March 5 are due to a readjustment of the magnetic field, accompanied by a massive, large-scale cracking of the neutron star crust. In both cases the initial hard spectrum would be produced by the conversion of magnetic energy to energy in a clean electron-positron and photon fireball uncontaminated by ions, which would soften the spectrum. The highest energy photons observed are only slightly above the electron-positron pair production threshold; this is consistent with attenuation due to this process, although there is at present no direct evidence for a cutoff. Expanding away from the stellar surface, part of the fireball would be trapped in the magnetosphere, producing the observed soft tails. The periodicity indicates that this emission was either anisotropic and/or that it occurred close enough to the neutron star to be occulted by it; the decay in intensity with approximately constant spectral temperature is interpreted as a shrinking in the volume of the emission region. The complex pulse structure implies that several regions of the magnetosphere were involved. It is noteworthy that, despite the factor of 25 difference between the peak luminosities of the August 27 and March 5 events, the ratios of peak to total energy are within a factor of 2 of each other, suggesting that similar magnetic field geometries may play an important role. Since the soft spectrum which follows the intense main peak in both cases is attributed to radiation from an optically thick pair plasma trapped in the neutron star's magnetosphere, the magnetic field strength may be estimated from the energy in this component⁵:

$$B > 4 \times 10^{14} \left(\frac{\Delta R}{10 \text{ km}} \right)^{-3/2} \left(\frac{1 + \Delta R/R}{2} \right)^3 \left(\frac{E_{\text{tail}}}{3.6 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg}} \right)^{1/2} G$$

Where R is the radius of the neutron star and ΔR (~ 10 km) is the outer radius of the magnetic flux loop containing the pair plasma. For the March 5 event, this gives $B > 4 \times 10^{14} G$; for August 27, $B > 10^{14} G$, providing a confirmation of the magnetar model which is independent of the observation and interpretation of the spin-down, but consistent with it.

The existence of a strong magnetic field helps to explain the high luminosities encountered in both events, five to six orders of magnitude greater than the Eddington limit. A strong magnetic field suppresses the Compton scattering cross-section, and reduces the opacity⁵.

The giant flare of March 5 1979 was observed to precede the much smaller event series from SGR0525-66. Observations during the preceding six months failed to reveal any source activity, and it was speculated at the time that this was a unique, catastrophic event in the life of a neutron star, and one that initiated the series of bursts subsequently observed. Our observation of the August 27 1998 event leads to a different interpretation. The source evolved from a weak, infrequent repeater to an intensely active one, indicating that the neutron star's crust was able to adjust to magnetic stresses by undergoing relatively minor, localized cracking for a long period. The small precursor to the giant flare was comparable in intensity to these bursts, and may have been the final trigger for it. In the following months, these bursts have continued. Thus our observations imply that rare giant flares on SGRs may be the rule, rather than the exception, and that they may occur at any time. It therefore seems likely that SGR0525- 66 emitted relatively

weak bursts prior to March 5, 1979, which went undetected due to spacecraft coverage and/or weakness. The magnetar theory predicts that on any given SGR, such events may recur on a timescale of $\sim$ decades or more²⁸. It is now almost two decades since the March 5 event; future monitoring of this and other SGRs can confirm this idea.

References

1. Hurley, K., Are the Soft Gamma Repeaters a Motley Crew? , in 3rd Huntsville Symposium, AIP Conf. Proc. 384 (AIP: New York), Eds. C. Kouveliotou, M. Briggs, and G. Fishman, 889-896 (1996)
2. Mazets, E., Golenetskii, S., Guryan, Yu, and Ilyinskii, V., The 5 March 1979 event and the distinct class of short gamma bursts: are they of the same origin?, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 84, 173-189 (1982)
3. Cline, T., The Unique Cosmic Event of 1979 March 5, *Comments on Astrophysics* 1,13-20 (1980)
4. Braun, R., Goss, W., and Lyne, A., Three Fields Containing Young Pulsars: The Observable Lifetime of Supernova Remnants, *Ap. J.* 340, 355-361 (1989)
5. Thompson, C., and Duncan, R., The Soft Gamma Repeaters as Very Strongly Magnetized Neutron Stars. I. Radiative Mechanism for Outbursts, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 275, 255-300 (1995)
6. Mazets, E., et al., T., A Flaring X-Ray Pulsar in Dorado, *Nature*, 282, 587-589 (1979)
7. Barat, C., et al., Evidence for Periodicity in a Gamma Ray Burst, *Astronomy and Astrophysics Lett.*, 79, L24-L25 (1979)
8. Cline, T., et al., Detection of a Fast, Intense and Unusual Gamma Ray Transient, *Ap. J. Lett.* 237, L1-L5 (1980)
9. Golenetskii, S., Ilinskii, V., Mazets, E., and Guryan, Yu., Repeating Gamma Ray Bursts From the Source FXP 0520-66, *Sov. Astron. Lett.*, 5, 340-342 (1979)
10. Golenetskii, S., Ilyinskii, V., Mazets, E., Recurrent Bursts in the source of the 5 March 1979 event, *Nature* 307, p. 41-43 (1984)
11. Evans, W., et al., Location of The Gamma-Ray Transient Event of 1979 March 5, *Ap. J. Lett.* 237, L7-L9 (1980)
12. Cline, T., et al., Precise Source Location of the Anomalous 1979 March 5 Gamma Ray Transient, *Ap. J. Lett.*, 255, L45-L48 (1982)
13. Rothschild, R., Kulkarni, S., and Lingefelter, R., Discovery of an X-ray Source Coincident with the Soft Gamma Repeater 0525-66, *Nature* 368, 432-434 (1994)

14. Mazets, E., Golenetskii, S., and Guryan, Yu., Soft Gamma Ray Bursts From the Source B1900+14, *Sov. Astron. Lett.*, 5(6), 343-344 (1979)
15. Kouveliotou, C., et al., Recurrent Burst Activity from the Soft Gamma-Ray Repeater SGR 1900+14, *Nature* 362, 728-730 (1993)
16. Kouveliotou, C., et al., The Rarity of Soft Gamma-Ray Repeaters Deduced from Reactivation of SGR1806-20, *Nature* 368, 125-127 (1994)
17. Vasisht, G., Kulkarni, S., Frail, D., and Greiner, J., Supernova Remnant Candidates for the Soft Gamma-Ray Repeater 1900+14, *Ap. J.* 431, L35-L38 (1994)
18. Hurley, K., et al., Network Synthesis Localization of Two Soft Gamma Repeaters, *Ap. J.* 431, L31-L34 (1994)
19. Hurley, K., Kouveliotou, C., Mazets, E., and Cline, T., SGR1900+14, *IAUC* 6929, (1998a)
20. Hurley, K. et al., Reactivation and Precise IPN Localization of the Soft Gamma Repeater SGR1900+14, *ApJ*, in press (1998b)
21. Hurley, K., Cline, T., Mazets, E., and Golenetskii, S., SGR1900+14, *IAUC* 7004, (1998c)
22. Hurley, K., Kouveliotou, C., Murakami, T., and Strohmayer, T., SGR1900+14, *IAUC* 7001 (1998e)
23. Kouveliotou, C., Strohmayer, T., Hurley, K., van Paradijs, J., and Woods, P., SGR1900+14, *IAUC* 7001 (1998b)
24. Cline, T., Mazets, E., and Golenetskii, S., SGR1900+14, *IAUC* 7002 (1998)
25. Marshall, F., et al., *IAUC* 7005 (1998)
26. Fenimore, E., Klebesadel, R., and Laros, J., The 1979 March 5 Gamma-Ray Transient: Was It a Classical Gamma-Ray Burst?, *Ap. J.* 460, 964-975 (1996)
27. Kouveliotou, C., et al., An X-ray Pulsar With a Superstrong Magnetic Field in the Soft Gamma-Ray Repeater SGR1806-20, *Nature*, 393, 235-237 (1998)
28. Duncan, R., private communication (1998)
29. Hurley, K., et al., The Solar X-ray/Cosmic Gamma-ray Burst Experiment Aboard *Ulysses*, *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.* 92, 401-410 (1992)
30. Barat, C. et al., Fine Time Structure in the 1979 March 5 Gamma-Ray Burst, *Astron. Astrophys.* 126, 400-402, 1983

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to R. Duncan for discussions about the magnetic field estimate. This work was supported in the U.S. by a grant from NASA and a contract from JPL, and at the Ioffe Institute by an RSA contract.

Table 1. Properties of the August 27 1998 and March 5 1979 bursts		
	August 27 1998	March 5 1979
Rise time	Complex, structures <4 ms	Simple, <2 ms
Morphology of main peak	Complex structure, duration ~ 1 s	Complex structure, duration ~ 150 ms ³⁰
Periodicity	5.16 s	8.1 s
Peak flux, $\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	$\geq 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$, > 25 keV	$\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$, > 50 keV
Fluence, erg cm^{-2}	$\geq 7 \times 10^{-3}$	$\sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$
Spectrum at peak, kT (keV)	240 (average over 1 s)	246 (average over 200 ms) ²⁶
Highest photon energy in peak	2 MeV	> 1 MeV
Spectrum of pulsations, kT (keV)	30	30
Source distance, kpc	~ 7 (G42.8+0.6)	~ 50 (N49)
Peak source luminosity, erg/s	$\geq 2 \times 10^{43}$	$\sim 5 \times 10^{44}$
Precursor observed?	Yes	No
Delay between main peak and periodic emission	35 s	None
Ratio of energy in main peak to total energy in burst	0.46	0.25
Source activity in months preceding the burst	Intense	None observed

Figure 1. Ulysses data for the August 27 1998 giant flare.

a. 25-150 keV time history, corrected for dead time effects, from the 0.5 s resolution continuously available real time data. Zero seconds corresponds to 37283.12 s UT at Earth. This event was so intense that it temporarily saturated or shut down some experiments, but because of the relatively small detection area of the Ulysses²⁹ sensor (20 cm²) it was not subject to severe dead time or pulse pile-up problems; in fact solar flare data producing considerably higher count rates have been successfully analyzed with this instrument.

b. Spectral temperature as a function of time. The spectra were measured by Ulysses in intervals with increasing durations of 1 - 48 s. No simple, two-parameter fit describes the spectrum well, in part because the measurement uncertainties are dominated by systematic effects. However, we have used an optically thin thermal bremsstrahlung spectrum to characterize grossly the spectral temperature.

c. 0.03125 s resolution time history of the event from the triggered data, available for 64 s. The burst triggered on the precursor (arrow) ~ 0.4 s prior to the main peak. A grid is drawn to indicate the 5.16 s periodicity, showing its absence for the first ~ 35 s after the main peak. The short horizontal line at the top indicates the position of the hard spectral peak measured by Ulysses. Zero seconds corresponds to 37327.81 s UT at Earth.

